



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/632,139	08/03/2000	Ryoichi Imanaka	MAT-3720US4	2101

7590 03/11/2004
Ratner & Prestia
P O Box 980
Valley Forge, PA 19482

EXAMINER

SRIVASTAVA, VIVEK

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2611

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004

13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/632,139

Applicant(s)

IMANAKA, RYOICHI

Examiner

Reuben M. Brown

Art Unit

2611

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Reuben M. Brown.

(3) _____.

(2) Dan Calder.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 March 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.


Claim(s) discussed: 14-34.Identification of prior art discussed: Horton, Russo.Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the Double patenting rejection from Paper #8. Discussed the scope of amendments to the independent claims (14 & 16-22) that the identifier, "identifies the medium", filed 9/24/2003, Paper #11. Also discussed the scope of the subject matter of the newly added claims 23-34. Discussed the status of the related cases; 09/594,152; 09/631,542 & 09/631,540.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

REUBEN M. BROWN
PATENT EXAMINER



Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.